
Outcomes Assessment SUSLA Evaluation () No. of responses = 30					
Overall indicators					
Global Index		- av.=1.9 dev.=0.9			
2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute *		- av.=1.8 dev.=0.9			
3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute		- av.=2 dev.=0.9			
Survey Re	esults				
1. Please help us to understand more about you.					
^{1.1)} Personnel Classification					
Full-time Faculty		37.9% n=29 av.=2.3 dev.=1			
Adjunct		0%			
Staff		58.6%			
Administrator	0	3.4%			
^{1.2)} Select your division					
Academic Affairs		52% n=25 av.=3			
Community and Workforce Development		dev.=2.3			
Enrollment Management		0%			
Finance and Administration		12%			
RSPIE	Ω	4%			
Student Affairs		28%			
^{1.3)} Time at SUSLA					
Less than one year		10.3% n=29 av.=3.2 dev.=1.2			
1 to 5 years		20.7%			
6 to 10 years		17.2%			
11-20 years		41.4%			
20 or more years		10.3%			
^{1.4)} How often do you participate in work-related professional developme	nt opportunities?				
Frequently (2 to 5 times per year)		71.4% n=28			
Occasionally (once annually)		10.7%			
Seldom (every few years)		7.1%			
Never)	10.7%			



4. Additional Comments

Profile

Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) RSPIE - Department of Outcomes Assessment Outcomes Assessment SUSLA Evaluation

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute

2.1)	Overall, I found the Institute to be helpful or useful.	Strongly Agree			Strongly Disagree	n=29	av.=1.8 md=2.0 dev.=1.0
2.2)	The Institute met my expectations.	Strongly Agree			Strongly Disagree	n=29	av.=1.9 md=2.0 dev.=1.0
2.3)	I learned at least one thing that I can use to strengthen my department.	Strongly Agree	$\left \frac{1}{4} \right $		Strongly Disagree	n=29	av.=1.8 md=2.0 dev.=0.9
2.4)	The objectives of the Institute were clear to me.	Strongly Agree			Strongly Disagree	n=29	av.=1.7 md=2.0 dev.=0.7

3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute

3.1)	Logistics (Location, seating, temperature)	Very Satisfied			Very Dissatisfied	n=29	av.=1.8 md=2.0 dev.=0.8
3.2)	Clarity of information in the program	Very Satisfied			Very Dissatisfied	n=29	av.=1.8 md=2.0 dev.=0.7
3.3)	Overall quality of information offered	Very Satisfied	<u> </u>		Very Dissatisfied	n=29	av.=1.9 md=2.0 dev.=0.9
3.4)	Breakfast offered	Very Satisfied	<u>\</u>		Very Dissatisfied	n=28	av.=2.4 md=2.0 dev.=1.1

Comments Report

4. Additional Comments

- ^{4.1)} What suggestions do you have for improving the Institute in the future?
- Why do we always start late, and then run behind and out of time all day long?
- Although I learned a lot during the institute to bring back to my department, I do not feel comfortable doing so. I can barely concentrate on doing my job b/c of the loudness and disrespect of others in the work office. However, the meeting was very informational. Thank you
- Better selection of breakfast
- Have essential workshops related to Higher Education issues, local and community issues.
- I think the leadership group did a great job!
- It needs to be no more than half a day; a full day is too long.
- It was very informative.
- Less sugarly breakfast items.
- Much of the information does not apply to Staff. Maybe we could split the day.
- None
- Online Faculty Orientation
- Provide lunch to participants
- Starting on time, have handouts of all information so the speaker don't have to talk so long, because sometime you can't hear the speaker
- The suggestion that I have for the institute is that we voice our concerns more and start working together instead of against one another. We are here for the students not ourselves.