Academy of Excellence
SUSLA Evaluation ()
No. of responses = 12




Clobal Index 2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute 3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute Survey Results Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. 1. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. 1. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. 1. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. 1. Survey Results 1. Please help us to understand more about you. 1. Survey Results 1. Sur	Overall indicators		
3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute 1	Global Index	1 2 3 4 5	av.=2 dev.=0.9
Survey Results Survey Results	2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute	1 2 3 4 5	
Personnel Classification	3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute	1 2 3 4 5	av.=2 dev.=0.8
Personnel Classification	Survey Re	esults	
Personnel Classification			
Full-time Faculty			
Adjunct 8.3% 34 34 35 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	1.1) Personnel Classification		40
Staff	·		33.3% av.=2.3
Administrator			
1-2 Select your division			
Academic Affairs	Administrato	r	8.3%
Academic Affairs	1.2) Select your division		
Community and Workforce Development		s	
Student Affairs and Enrollment Management 18.2%			dev.=1.6
1.3 Time at SUSLA Less than one year 8.3% av = 2.9 dev = 1.2	Finance and Administration	1	9.1%
1.3) Time at SUSLA Less than one year	RSPIE	E (0%
Less than one year	Student Affairs and Enrollment Managemen	t	18.2%
1 to 5 years 41.7% 6 to 10 years 33.3% 20 or more years 8.3% 1.4) How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities? Frequently (2 to 5 times per year) 70% Occasionally (once annually) 20% Seldom (every few years) 0%	1.3) Time at SUSLA		
1 to 5 years 41.7% 6 to 10 years 33.3% 11-20 years 33.3% 20 or more years 8.3% 1-4) How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities? Frequently (2 to 5 times per year) 70% Occasionally (once annually) 20% Seldom (every few years) 0%	Less than one yea	r 🗌	o.3% av.=2.9
11-20 years 33.3% 20 or more years 8.3% 1.4) How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities? Frequently (2 to 5 times per year) 70% Occasionally (once annually) 20% Seldom (every few years) 0%	1 to 5 years	5	
20 or more years 8.3% 1.4) How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities? Frequently (2 to 5 times per year) 70% n=10 Occasionally (once annually) 20% Seldom (every few years) 0%	6 to 10 years	5	8.3%
How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities? Frequently (2 to 5 times per year) Occasionally (once annually) Seldom (every few years) 0%	11-20 years	3	33.3%
Frequently (2 to 5 times per year) Occasionally (once annually) Seldom (every few years) 70% 100 20% 00%	20 or more years	3	8.3%
Occasionally (once annually) Seldom (every few years)	1.4) How often do you participate in work-related professional developme	ent opportunities?	
Seldom (every few years) 0%	Frequently (2 to 5 times per year		70% n=10
	Occasionally (once annually		20%
Never 10%	Seldom (every few years		0%
	Neve	г	10%

2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute ^{2.1)} Overall, I found the Institute to be helpful or n=12 av.=2 dev.=1 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree useful. n=12 av.=1.8 dev.=0.8 The Institute met my expectations. Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree I learned at least one thing that I can use to n=12 av.=1.9 dev.=1 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree strengthen my department. ^{2.4)} The objectives of the Institute were clear to me. n=12 av.=2.2 dev.=0.9 Strongly Agree Strongly Disagree 3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute 3.1) Logistics (Location, seating, temperature) n=12 av.=1.8 dev.=0.8 Very Satisfied Very Dissatisfied Clarity of information in the program n=12 Very Satisfied Very Dissatisfied av.=2 dev.=0.9 ^{3.3)} Overall quality of information offered n=12 av.=2.1 dev.=1 Very Satisfied Very Dissatisfied Breakfast offered n=12 av.=2.2 dev.=0.8 Very Satisfied Very Dissatisfied 63.6% 18.2% 0% 3.5) Snacks offered n=11 av.=2 dev.=0.6 Very Satisfied Very Dissatisfied

4. Additional Comments

	у	1	2	3	4	
х						
1		80%	0%	0%	20%	100%
2		50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
3		50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
4		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
5		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		70%	20%	0%	10%	100%



- x: Overall, I found the Institute to be helpful or useful.
- 1: Strongly Agree
- 2: Agree
- 3: Neutral
- 4: Disagree
- 5: Strongly Disagree

- y: How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities?
- 1: Frequently (2 to 5 times per year)
- 2: Occasionally (once annually)
- 3: Seldom (every few years)
- 4: Never

Profile

Subunit: RSPIE - Academy of Excellence


Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Academy of Excellence SUSLA Evaluation

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute

2.1)	Overall, I found the Institute to be helpful or useful.	Strongly Agree	<u>,</u>		Strongly Disagree	n=12	av.=2.0 md=2.0 dev.=1.0
2.2)	The Institute met my expectations.	Strongly Agree			Strongly Disagree	n=12	av.=1.8 md=2.0 dev.=0.8
2.3)	I learned at least one thing that I can use to strengthen my department.	Strongly Agree	- 		Strongly Disagree	n=12	av.=1.9 md=2.0 dev.=1.0
2.4)	The objectives of the Institute were clear to me.	Strongly Agree	1		Strongly Disagree	n=12	av.=2.2 md=2.0 dev.=0.9

3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute



Comments Report

4. Additional Comments

- 4.1) What suggestions do you have for improving the Institute in the future?
- Continue to have presentations from various departments to help each employee begin to understand the importance of inter-departmental communication and how we must work together in a team effort for the University to be successful.
- Due to the age range (average 50) better accessibility, ground floor or elevator access, for those that have health issues and cannot climb stairs.
- N/A
- NA
- Present the session in a one day format w/ workshops in the afternoon.
- The one thing that was activities or something more tied to the theme. Something to really resonate the message to the staff and something to take with them once they left to serve as a reminder.