

Academy of Excellence

SUSLA Evaluation ()
No. of responses = 22




Overall indicators

Global Index

2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute


3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute




Survey Results

1. Please help us to understand more about you.

1.1) Personnel Classification




1.2) Select your division



1.3) Time at SUSLA




1.4) How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities?




2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute


2.1) Overall, I found the Institute to be helpful or useful.




2.2) The Institute met my expectations.



2.3) I learned at least one thing that I can use to strengthen my department.




2.4) The objectives of the Institute were clear to me.




3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute


3.1) Logistics (Location, seating, temperature)




3.2) Clarity of information in the program




3.3) Overall quality of information offered



3.4) Breakfast offered




3.5) Snacks offered



4. Additional Comments

x	y	1	2	3	4	
1		87.5%	12.5%	0%	0%	100%
2		61.5%	30.8%	0%	7.7%	100%
3		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
4		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		71.4%	23.8%	0%	4.8%	100%



x: Overall, I found the Institute to be helpful or useful.

- 1: Strongly Agree
- 2: Agree
- 3: Neutral
- 4: Disagree
- 5: Strongly Disagree

y: **How often do you participate in work-related professional development opportunities?**


- 1: Frequently (2 to 5 times per year)
- 2: Occasionally (once annually)
- 3: Seldom (every few years)
- 4: Never

Profile


Subunit: RSPIE - Academy of Excellence
 Name of the instructor: Academy of Excellence
 Name of the course: SUSLA Evaluation
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Overall quality of the Faculty/Staff Institute



3. Level of satisfaction with the following elements of the Institute



Comments Report

4. Additional Comments

4.1) What suggestions do you have for improving the Institute in the future?

- 1) shorten to one day; 2) Shorten sessions to 30 minutes each; 3) Shorten VCs presentation to ten minutes; 4) Start on time, stay on time and end on time; 5) Ensure all sessions proceed as scheduled; 6) Consider speakers with a story to tell (Charles Blow (GSU) - New York Times or Dr. Lamar Conerly (SU) - Dallas Children's Hospital)
- Breakfast was awful. The Danishes were stale and there was only water and coffee to drink. In the past there were a variety of delicious food items to eat throughout the morning. Lunch was delicious the first day.
- Either extend the duration from 2 to 3 days, or cut out some of the presentations. It lasted all day....way too long!
- Great job Ms. Elliott and committee!!!!
- It can go back to being one day. Two days is too much so close to registration & 1st day of class. Our classes are not available in Moodle until the last minute; therefore allowing little time to prepare for classes.
- Limit the institute to 1.5 days. The information was good, but some of it was "filler". Faculty need to be able to work in their offices to prepare for registration and the start of class.
- N/A
- None, great Institute
- None.
- The 2 days are entirely too long especially when individuals have to be ready to greet students on Wednesday. So many individuals were not as attentive due to the lengthiness of both days.
- The two day institute is entirely too long especially when you have registration for the next day. Prefer the one full day delivery or the 1/2 day for 2 days....
- This was the best one that I have attended. Excellent job!!
- one day only